# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

David A. Vanslee,

Plaintiff,

v.

Ford Motor Credit Company, LLC c/o CT Corporation System 208 S. LaSalle Street, Suite 814 Chicago, IL 60604

And

Trans Union LLC c/o Prentice Hall Corporation 801 Adlai Stevenson Drive Springfield, IL 62703

And

Equifax Information Services, LLC c/o Illinois Corporation Service Corp 801 Adlai Stevenson Drive Springfield, IL 62703

And

Cook County Clerk of Courts c/o Executive Office 50 W. Washington Blvd, Room 1001 Chicago, IL 60602

Defendant.

Case No. 1:15-cv-03452

#### AMENDED COMPLAINT

**Jury Demand Requested** 

Now comes the Plaintiff, by and through his attorneys, and files his Amended Complaint for violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act (hereafter the õFCRAö), found at 15 USC § 1681 et seq., as amended, and various other laws of the State of Illinois.

#### **PARTIES**

1. Plaintiff David VanSlee is a resident of North Carolina.

- 2. Defendant Ford Motor Credit Corporation (õFordö) is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in the State of Michigan.
- 3. Defendant Trans Union, LLC (õTrans Unionö) is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in the State of Illinois.
- 4. Defendant Equifax Information Services, LLC (õEquifaxö) is a Georgia corporation with its principal place of business in the State of Georgia.
- 5. Defendant Cook County Clerk of Courts (õCook Countyö) is a resident of Cook County in the State of Illinois

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. Jurisdiction is founded on 28 U.S.C. §1331 pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (õFDCPAö), 15 U.S.C. §1692 et al; the Fair Credit Reporting Act (õFCRAö), 15 U.S.C. §1681 et al; and under the Doctrine of Supplemental Jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367(a).
- 7. Venue is proper because a substantial part of the events giving rise to this claim occurred in this judicial district.

#### **RELEVANT FACTS**

- 8. Plaintifføs wife co-signed a car loan for Plaintifføs son (David Jr.) with Defendant Ford (the õDebtö).
- 9. On or around December 5, 2011, Plaintiff filed a voluntary bankruptcy petition that included the Debt (the õPetitionö).
- 10. On or around December 5, 2011, the clerk of the bankruptcy mailed notice of the Petition filing directly to Defendant Ford.
- 11. On or around March 20, 2012, Plaintiff received a discharge of the Debt.
- 12. On or around March 20, 2012, notice of the discharge for mailed directly to Defendant Ford.

- 13. On or around November 21, 2012, Defendant Ford filed, or caused to be filed, a lawsuit for the Debt against David Jr.
- 14. On or around March 5, 2013, Defendant Ford obtained a default judgment against David Jr. (the õJudgmentö).
- 15. In or around 2013, due to the identifying information, or lack thereof, that Defendant Ford provided to Defendant Cook County with the lawsuit, Defendant Cook County began to report the Judgment Plaintiff® credit reports with Defendant Equifax and Defendant Trans Union.
- 16. Throughout 2014, Plaintiff repeatedly contacted Defendant Trans Union, Defendant Cook County, and Defendant Equifax to correct the erroneous reporting but each Defendant refused to modify the improper reporting of the Judgment on Plaintiff® credit reports.
- 17. Further, in or around January 2015, Plaintiff disputed the Judgment with the credit bureaus.
- 18. In or around February 2015, Plaintiff received notifications from Defendant Equifax and Defendant Trans Union that Defendant Cook County had verified the Judgment as belonging to Plaintiff.
- 19. Defendant Cook County erroneously verified that the Judgment belonged to Plaintiff.
- 20. Defendant Equifax erroneously reported the Judgment on Plaintiff credit report without substantial justification, and without acquiring sufficient verifying information.
- 21. Defendant Trans Union erroneously reported the Judgment on Plaintiff

  scredit report substantial justification, and without acquiring sufficient verifying information.
- 22. As a direct and proximate result of Defendantsøactions, Plaintiff suffered actual and compensable damages including, but not limited to, the inability to obtain a mortgage, anxiety, duress, frustration, and lost peace of mind.

### **CLAIM I - AGAINST DEFENDANT COOK COUNTY**

## **Violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act**

- 23. Plaintiff incorporates each of the preceding allegations as if specifically stated herein.
- 24. Defendant Cook County violated 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2(a) by failing to conduct a reasonable investigation of the Judgment.

### CLAIM II – AGAINST DEFENDANT COOK COUNTY

## **Violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act**

- 25. Plaintiff incorporates each of the preceding allegations as if specifically stated herein.
- 26. Defendant Cook County violated 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2(a) by failing to correct the inaccurate information it had furnished to a credit reporting agency.

## CLAIM III – AGAINST DEFENDANT COOK COUNTY

## Negligence

- 27. Plaintiff incorporates each of the preceding allegations as if specifically stated herein.
- 28. Defendant Cook County had a duty to properly verified Plaintiff as dispute of the judgment being shown on his credit report.
- 29. Defendant Cook County breached this duty by improperly verifying the validity of the judgment without sufficient identifying information.
- 30. Defendant Cook Countyøs breach caused the judgment to be inaccurately and improperly reported to a credit reporting agency(ies).
- 31. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Cook County breach, Plaintiff was damaged.

#### **CLAIM IV - AGAINST DEFENDANT EQUIFAX**

### **Violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act**

32. Plaintiff incorporates each of the preceding allegations as if specifically stated herein.

33. Defendant Equifax violated 15 U.S.C. §1681e by failing to maintain reasonable procedures designed to assure the maximum possible accuracy of information in a credit report.

### CLAIM V – AGAINST DEFENDANT EQUIFAX

### **Violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act**

- 34. Plaintiff incorporates each of the preceding allegations as if specifically stated herein.
- 35. Defendant Equifax violated 15 U.S.C. §1681e failing to correct the inaccurate information on Plaintifføs credit report.

### CLAIM VI – AGAINST DEFENDANT EQUIFAX

# Negligence

- 36. Plaintiff incorporates each of the preceding allegations as if specifically stated herein.
- 37. Defendant Equifax had a duty to properly investigate Plaintiff dispute of the judgment being shown on his credit report.
- 38. Defendant Equifax breached this duty by improperly verifying the validity of the judgment without sufficient identifying information.
- 39. Defendant Equifax s breach caused the judgment to be inaccurately and improperly reported to a credit reporting agency(ies).
- 40. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Equifaxøs breach, Plaintiff was damaged.

### **CLAIM VII - AGAINST DEFENDANT TRANS UNION**

### **Violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act**

- 41. Plaintiff incorporates each of the preceding allegations as if specifically stated herein.
- 42. Defendant Trans Union violated 15 U.S.C. §1681e by failing to maintain reasonable procedures designed to assure the maximum possible accuracy of information in a credit report.

### CLAIM VIII – AGAINST DEFENDANT TRANS UNION

# Violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act

- 43. Plaintiff incorporates each of the preceding allegations as if specifically stated herein.
- 44. Defendant Trans Union violated 15 U.S.C. §1681e by failing to correct the inaccurate information on Plaintiff¢s credit report.

### **CLAIM IX - AGAINST DEFENDANT TRANS UNION**

## Negligence

- 45. Plaintiff incorporates each of the preceding allegations as if specifically stated herein.
- 46. Defendant Trans Union had a duty to properly investigate Plaintiff dispute of the judgment being shown on his credit report.
- 47. Defendant Trans Union breached this duty by improperly verifying the validity of the judgment without sufficient identifying information.
- 48. Defendant Trans Union s breach caused the judgment to be inaccurately and improperly reported to a credit reporting agency(ies).
- 49. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Trans Union breach, Plaintiff was damaged.

### CLAIM X – AGAINST DEFENDANT FORD

### Negligence

- 50. Plaintiff incorporates each of the preceding allegations as if specifically stated herein.
- 51. Defendant Ford had a duty to insure that provide accurate information to Defendant Cook

  County when suing Plaintiff

  son, David Jr.
- 52. Defendant Ford breached this duty by providing insufficient information for Defendant Cook

  County to properly identify the correct defendant in the Judgment.
- 53. Defendant Fordøs breach caused the judgment to be inaccurately and improperly reported to a credit reporting agency(ies).

54. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Fordøs breach, Plaintiff was damaged.

## **JURY DEMAND**

55. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

## **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

- 56. Plaintiff prays for the following relief:
  - a. Judgment against Defendant Equifax, Trans Union, Cook County, and Ford for actual, statutory, punitive damages, and costs and reasonable attorney fees for willful noncompliance pursuant to Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. §1681n;
  - Judgment against Defendant Equifax, Trans Union, Cook County, and Ford for negligence; and
  - c. For such other legal and/or equitable relief as the Court deems appropriate.

## RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

Meier LLC

By: /s/ Richard J. Meier Richard J. Meier, Esq. 53 W. Jackson Blvd, Suite 304 Chicago, IL 60604

Tel: 312-242-1849 Fax: 312-242-1841 richard@meierllc.com Attorney for Plaintiff